

to decrease [174]*. Figure 1 shows estimates of the production rates of the fossil fuels and the world demand.




Figure 1. Estimates of World Fossil Fuel Production.

Meantime, as a result of the growing world population and the desires of the peoples to better their living standards, the world demand for fluid fuels is rising (Fig. 1). It is expected that the world population (which is about 6 billion at the moment and rising at 1.5% per year) growth will slow down and reach about 10 to 12 billions by the end of the next century [5]. Consequently, the world demand for fluid fuels will slow down and reach around 1.6×10^{12} GJ (1 GJ of energy is approximately equal to the energy contained in 3.4 gallons of petroleum) per year. There will be a growing gap, starting within the next ten years, between the demand and production of fluid fuels.

1.2. Environmental Damage

The second predicament involving the fossil fuels is the environmental damage being caused by the fossil fuels and by their combustion products. Technologies for fossil fuel extraction, transportation, processing and particularly their end use (combustion), have harmful impacts on the environment, which cause direct and indirect negative effects on the economy. Excavation of coal devastates the land, which has to be reclaimed and is out of use for several years. During the extraction, transportation and storage of oil and gas, spills and leakages occur, which cause water and air pollution. Refining processes also have an environmental impact. However, most of the fossil fuel environmental damage occurs during the end use. The end use of all fossil fuels is combustion, irrespective of the final purpose (i.e., heating, electricity production or motive power for transportation). The main constituents of fossil fuels are carbon and hydrogen, but also some other ingredients, which are originally in the fuel (e.g., sulfur), or are added during refining (e.g., lead, alcohols). Combustion of the fossil fuels produces various gases (CO_x , SO_x , NO_x , CH), soot and ash, droplets of tar, and other organic compounds, which are all released into the atmosphere and cause air pollution. Air pollution may be defined as the presence of some gases and particulates which are not a natural constituent of the atmosphere, or even presence of the natural constituents in an abnormal concentration. Air pollution causes damage to human health, animals, crops, structures, reduces visibility, and so on.

Once in the atmosphere, triggered by sunlight or by mixing with water and other atmospheric compounds, the above stated primary pollutants may undergo chemical reactions, change their form and become secondary pollutants, like ozone, aerosols, peroxyacyl nitrates, various acids, etc. Precipitation of sulfur and nitrogen oxides, which have dissolved in clouds and in rain droplets to form sulfuric and nitric acids is called acid rain; but also acid dew, acid fog and acid snow have been recorded. Carbon dioxide in equilibrium with water produces weak carbonic acid. Acid deposition (wet or dry) causes soil and water acidification, resulting in damages to the aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, affecting humans, animals, vegetation and structures.

The remaining products of combustion in the atmosphere, mainly carbon dioxide, together with other so called greenhouse gases (methane, nitrogen oxides and chlorofluorocarbons), result in thermal changes by absorbing the infrared energy the Earth radiates into the atmosphere, and by reradiating some back to Earth, causing global temperatures to increase. The effects of the temperature increase are melting of the ice caps, sea level rise and climate changes, which include heat waves, droughts,

floods, stronger storms, more wildfires, etc.

Using the studies by scores of environmental scientists, the above stated damages have been calculated for each of the fossil fuels [6]. Table I presents the results for each type of damage, in 1998 U.S. dollars. It can be seen that the environmental damage for coal is \$14.51 per GJ of coal consumed, for petroleum \$12.52 per GJ of petroleum consumed, for natural gas \$8.26 per GJ of natural gas consumed, and the weighted mean damage in the world is \$12.05 per GJ of fossil fuel consumption. These damage costs are not included in the prices of fossil fuels, but they are paid for by the people directly or indirectly through taxes, health expenditures, insurance premiums, and through a reduced quality of life. In other words, today fossil fuels are heavily subsidized. If the respective environmental damages were included in the fossil fuel prices, it would force earlier introduction of cleaner fuels, such as hydrogen, with many benefits to the economy and the environment.

In order to see the worldwide dimensions of the fossil fuel environmental damage, Table II has been prepared. It can be seen that 37% of the total damage is caused by coal, while the coal consumption is 31% of the total fossil fuel consumption. On the other hand, only 20% of the damage is caused by natural gas, which has a market share of 29%. It is clear that increasing the natural gas consumption at the expense of coal and petroleum will be environmentally beneficial. This would also prepare the way for greater public acceptance of gaseous fuels, which would result in a smoother change to hydrogen, also a gaseous fuel.

It can also be seen from Table II that the annual worldwide environmental damage caused by fossil fuels is 1998 \$4,345 billion, or equal to 11% of the gross world product. This is a very large figure. Conversion to a cleaner fuel, such as hydrogen, would enable the world to save this enormous sum and perhaps use it to improve the quality of life worldwide.

2. REASONS FOR HYDROGEN ENERGY SYSTEM

Because of the foregoing, energy researchers are looking at the possible alternative sources of energy to replace the fossil fuels. There are quite a number of primary energy sources available, such as thermonuclear energy, nuclear breeders, solar energy, wind energy, hydropower, geothermal energy, ocean currents, tides and waves.

At the consumer end, about one-quarter of the primary energy is used as electricity and three-quarters as fuel. The above mentioned primary energy sources must therefore be converted to these energy carriers needed by the consumer. In contrast with the fossil fuels, none of the new primary energy sources can be directly used as a fuel, e.g., for air transportation, land transportation. Consequently, they must be used to manufacture a fuel or fuels, as well as to generate electricity.

Since we need to manufacture a fuel for the post fossil fuel era, we are in a position to select the best possible fuel. There are many candidates, such as synthetic gasoline, synthetic natural gas (methane), methanol, ethanol and hydrogen. The fuel of choice must satisfy the following conditions [7]:

- It must be convenient fuel for transportation.
- It must be versatile or convert with ease to other energy forms at the user end.
- It must have high utilization efficiency.
- It must be safe to use.

In addition, the resulting energy system must be environmentally compatible and economical.

2.1. Transportation Fuel

Surface vehicles and airplanes must carry their fuel for a certain distance before replenishing their fuel supply. In the case of space transportation, the space vehicles must carry their fuel, as well as the oxidant, necessary for their scheduled range. Therefore, it is important that the transportation fuel be as light as possible and also take as little space as possible.

It can be seen that among the liquid fuels LH2 has the best motivity factor, while methanol has the lowest motivity factor. Among the gaseous fuels, GH2 has the best motivity factor.

Consideration of the utilization efficiency advantage of hydrogen further improves hydrogen's standing as the best transportation fuel. Of course, this is one of the reasons why hydrogen is the fuel of choice for the space programs around the world, even though presently it is more expensive than fossil fuels.

2.2. Versatility

At the user end, all fuels must be converted through a process (such as combustion) to other forms of energy, e.g., thermal energy, mechanical energy and electrical energy. If a fuel can be converted through more than one process to various forms of energy at the user end, it becomes more versatile and more convenient to utilize. Table IV lists various fuels and processes by which they can be converted to other forms of energy at the user end. It can be seen that all the fuels, except hydrogen, can be converted through one process only, that of combustion. Hydrogen, however, can be converted to other forms of energy in five different ways; i.e., in addition to flame combustion, it can be converted directly to steam, converted to heat through catalytic combustion, act as a heat source and/or heat sink through chemical reactions, and converted directly to electricity through electrochemical processes [9]. In other words, hydrogen is the most versatile fuel.

2.3. Utilization Efficiency

In comparing the fuels, it is important to take into account the utilization efficiencies at the user end. For utilization by the user, fuels are converted to various energy forms, such as thermal, mechanical and electrical. Studies show that in almost every instance of utilization, hydrogen can be converted to the desired energy form more efficiently than other fuels [6].

Table V presents the utilization efficiency factors, defined as the fossil fuel utilization efficiency divided by the hydrogen utilization efficiency, for various applications. It can be seen that hydrogen is the most efficient fuel. This results in conservation of resources, in addition to conserving energy.

2.4. Safety

The safety aspects of fuels involve their toxicity on one hand and the fire hazard properties on the other. In addition to the toxicity of their combustion products, the fuels themselves can be toxic. The toxicity increases as the carbon?to?hydrogen ratio increases. Hydrogen and its main combustion product, water or water vapor, are not toxic. However, NO,, which can be produced through the flame combustion of hydrogen (as well as through the combustion of fossil fuels) displays toxic effects.

Table VI lists the characteristics of fuels related to fire hazards. Lower density makes a fuel safer, since it increases the buoyancy force for speedy dispersal of the fuel in case of a leak. For the same reason, higher diffusion coefficients are helpful. Higher specific heat causes a fuel to be safer, since it slows down the temperature increases for a given heat input. Wider ignition limits, lower ignition energies, and lower ignition temperatures make the fuels less safe, as they increase the limits in which a fire could commence. Higher flame temperature, higher explosion energy, and higher flame emissivity make a fuel less safe as well, since its fire would be more damaging.

Table VII compares the safety of fuels. For each of the toxic elements and fire hazard characteristics, it ranks the fuels from 1 to 3, 1 being the safest and 3 the least safe. These rankings have been summed up for each fuel in order to arrive at an overall ranking. The total rankings have been prorated to obtain the safety factors, defined as the ratio of the total ranking for hydrogen to that of a given fuel. It can be seen that hydrogen becomes the safest fuel, while gasoline is the least safe, methane being in between the two.

2.5. Best Fuel

When we look at the fuel options critically under the criteria given above, it becomes clear that hydrogen is the best transportation fuel, the most versatile fuel, the most efficient fuel and the safest fuel. In summary, hydrogen is the best fuel.

Table IV. Versatility (convertibility) of Fuels.

Conversion Process	Hydrogen	Fossil Fuels
Flame Combustion	Yes	Yes
Direct Steam Production	Yes	No
Catalytic Combustion	Yes	No
Chemical Conversion (Hydriding)	Yes	No
Electrochemical Conversion (Fuel Cells)	Yes	No

Table V. Utilization Efficiency Comparisons of Fossil Fuels and Hydrogen.

Application	Utilization Efficiency Factor $\Phi_u = \eta_f \eta_H$
Thermal Energy	
Flame Combustion	1.00
Catalytic Combustion	0.80
Steam Generation	0.80
Electric Power, Fuel Cells	0.54
Surface Transportation	
Internal Combustion Engines	0.82
Fuel Cells/Electric Motor	0.40
Subsonic Jet Transportation	0.84
Supersonic Jet Transportation	0.72
Weighted Average	0.72
Hydrogen Utilization Efficiency Factor	1.00
Fossil Fuel Utilization Efficiency Factor	0.72

Table VI. Characteristics Related to Fire Hazard of Fuels.

Property	Gasoline	Methane	Hydrogen
Density ^a (kg/m ³)	4.40	0.65	0.084
Diffusion Coefficient in Air ^a (cm ² /sec)	0.05	0.16	0.610
Specific Heat at Constant Pressure ^a (J/g K)	1.20	2.22	14.89
Ignition Limits in Air (vol %)	1.0 - 7.6	5.3 - 15.0	4.0 - 75.0
Ignition Energy in Air (mJ)	0.24	0.29	0.02
Ignition Temperature (°C)	228 - 471	540	585
Flame Temperature in Air (°C)	2197	1875	2045
Explosion Energy ^b (g TNT/kJ)	0.25	0.19	0.17
Flame Emissivity (%)	34 - 43	25 - 33	17 - 25

^aAt normal temperature and pressure.

^bTheoretical maximum; actual 10% of theoretical.

Table VII. Safety Ranking of Fuels.

Characteristic	Fuel Ranking ^a		
	Gasoline	Methane	Hydrogen
Toxicity of Fuel	3	2	1
Toxicity of Combustion (CO, SO _x , NO _x , HC, PM)	3	2	1
Density	3	2	1
Diffusion Coefficient	3	2	1
Specific Heat	3	2	1
Ignition Limit	1	2	3
Ignition Energy	2	1	3
Ignition Temperature	3	2	1
Flame Temperature	3	1	2
Explosion Energy	3	2	1
Flame Emissivity	3	2	1
TOTALS	30	20	16

Flame Emissivity		3	2	1
TOTALS		30	20	16
Safety Factor	Φ_s	0.53	0.80	1.00

*1 safest; 2, less safe; 3, least safe.

3. HYDROGEN ENERGY SYSTEM

As a result of the above discussion, it becomes clear that it would be expedient to manufacture hydrogen using any and all primary energy sources, in order to make up for their shortcomings. Such an energy system is then called the "Hydrogen Energy System."

Figure 2 presents a schematic diagram of the proposed hydrogen energy system. In this system, hydrogen (and oxygen) is produced in large industrial plants where the primary energy source (solar, nuclear, and even fossil) and water (1120), the raw material, are available. For large scale storage, hydrogen can be stored underground in ex?mines, caverns and/or aquifers. Hydrogen is then transported, by means of pipelines or super tankers, to energy consumption centers. Subsequently, it is used in electricity, transportation, industrial, residential and commercial sectors as a fuel and/or an energy

carrier. The by?product is water or water vapor. If flame combustion of hydrogen is used, then some NO, is also produced. Water and water vapor are recycled back, through rain, rivers, lakes and oceans, to make up for the water used in the first place to manufacture hydrogen.

The oxygen produced in the industrial plant making hydrogen could either be released into the atmosphere, or could be shipped or piped to industrial and city centers for use in fuel cells (instead of air) for electricity generation. This would have the advantage of increasing the utilization efficiency. The oxygen could be used by industry for non-energy applications, and also for rejuvenating the polluted rivers and lakes, or speeding up sewage treatment.

It should be noted that in the hydrogen energy system, hydrogen is not a primary source of energy. It is an intermediary or secondary form of energy or an energy carrier. Hydrogen complements the primary energy sources, and presents them to the consumer in a convenient form at the desired location and time.

Details of the hydrogen energy system, including production, storage, transportation, distribution, utilization, environmental impact and economics, can be found in the proceedings of the THEME and the World Hydrogen Energy Conferences [10?22], and in the books by Bockris, Veziroglu and Smith [23], and Veziroglu and Barbir [24].




Figure 2. A Schematic Diagram of Hydrogen Energy System.

4. COMPETING ENERGY SYSTEMS

Essentially, there are three contending energy systems: (1) the present fossil fuel system, (2) the coal/synthetic fossil fuel system, and (3) the solar hydrogen energy system, which is a special case of the hydrogen energy system.

4.1. Fossil Fuel System

A simplified version of today's energy system is shown in Fig. 3. Fossil fuels are used for transportation (mostly petroleum products), for heat generation in residential, commercial and industrial sectors, and for electric power generation. For

transportation, mostly petroleum products are used (gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel, etc.). Heat generation includes space heating, domestic water heating, cooking, steam generation and direct heating and/or drying in various industrial processes. All three forms of fossil fuels are used for these purposes. In electric power generation, coal is used mainly for the base load generation, and natural gas and heating oil are used for peak load. Part of the electric power is produced by hydro and nuclear power.

In comparing with other energy systems, it can be assumed that 40% of primary energy (in fossil fuel equivalent units) will be used for thermal energy generation, 30% for electric power generation, and 30% for transportation (2/3 for surface transportation and 1/3 for air transportation) [23]. Energy supplied by hydro and nuclear power plants (mostly in the form of electric power) and by other non-fossil fuel sources do not have to be taken into account, since it is assumed that it will be the same for the three systems

considered. Actually, it is reasonable to expect that in the future even more electrical energy will be supplied by these sources.

When one considers the early 2000's, it can be expected that about one half of the thermal energy will be supplied by natural gas, and the rest by petroleum fuels (fuel oil and residual oil) and coal. Coal is assumed to be the main energy source for electricity generation, gasoline for surface transportation and jet fuel for air transportation. This is of course a simplified version of the fossil fuel energy system, but it is close enough to the present patterns of energy consumption, and can be used as the basis for comparisons.




Figure 3. Fossil Fuel Systems.




Figure 4. Coal/Synthetic Fossil Fuel System.

same percentage of energy demands by sectors as the above systems will be assumed. It will further be assumed that one half of the thermal energy will be achieved by flame combustion, one quarter by steam generation with hydrogen/oxygen steam generators and the last quarter by catalytic combustion; electric power will be generated by fuel cells; one-half of the surface transportation will use gaseous hydrogen burning internal combustion engines and the other half will use fuel cells. In air transportation, both subsonic and supersonic, liquid hydrogen will be used.

5. COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF ENERGY ALTERNATIVES

It may be best to divide this into three sections, viz., pollution, vapor generation and environmental damage.

5.1. Pollution

Table VIII lists the pollutants for the three energy systems described.

Table VIII. Pollutants Produced by Three Energy Systems.

Pollutant	Fossil Fuel System (kg/GJ)	Coal/Synthetic Fossil System (kg/GJ)	Solar-Hydrogen System (kg/GJ)
CO ₂	72.40	100.00	0
CO	0.80	0.65	0
SO ₂	0.38	0.50	0
NO _x	0.34	0.32	0.10
HC	0.20	0.12	0
PM*	0.09	0.14	0

* Particulate Matter.

It can be seen that the coal/synthetic fossil system is the worst from the environmental point of view, while the solar-hydrogen energy system is the best. The solar-hydrogen system will not produce any CO₂, CO, SO_x, hydrocarbons or particulates, except some NO_x. However, the solar-hydrogen-produced NO_x is much less than those produced by the other energy systems. This is due to the fact that in the solar hydrogen energy system only the flame combustion of hydrogen in air will generate NO_x. The other utilization processes (such as direct steam generation, use of hydrogen in fuel cells, hydriding processes, etc.) will not produce any NO_x.

5.2. Vapor Generation

There is a notion that the hydrogen energy system would produce more water vapor than the other energy systems, since the fuel is pure hydrogen. When one considers the problem in detail, it is found to be not so.

Only the flame combustion of fuels in air or in oxygen will produce water vapor. In the case of hydrogen those other processes mentioned earlier will not produce any water vapor. Consequently, contrary to the popular belief, the solar-hydrogen energy system will produce less water vapor than the other systems.

Global warming, which is caused by the utilization of fossil fuels, also causes an increase in water vapor generation. Assuming that the Earth's mean temperature has increased by 0.5° C since the beginning of the Industrial revolution [25], this additional water vapor generation and that produced by the combustion of fuels have been calculated. The results are presented in Table IX.

Table IX. Comparison of Vapor Generation by Three Energy Systems (for 1998 Energy Consumption).

Item	Unit	Fossil Fuel System	Coal/Synthetic Fossil System	Solar-Hydrogen System
Annual Vapor Generation by Energy System	10 ¹² kg	8.9	9.300	6.0
Annual Vapor Generation due to Global Warming	10 ¹² kg	3,900	3,900.000	0
Total Vapor Generation due to Energy System and Global Warming	10 ¹² kg	3,909	3,909.000	6.0
Total Vapor Generation as Fraction of that Produced Naturally	%	0.782	0.782	0.001

Note: Annual vapor generation due to solar heating is 5 X 10¹⁷ kg.

It can be seen that (1) the two fossil fuel systems generate much more additional (above natural) water vapor than the solar hydrogen energy system, (2) the additional water vapor generated by global warming is much greater than that produced by the combustion of fuels, (3) the amount of water vapor generated by fuels is minimal compared to that generated naturally, and (4) the solar-hydrogen system causes the smallest increase in vapor generation. Again, when the additional vapor generation is considered, the solar-hydrogen system becomes environmentally the most compatible system.

Table X. Environmental Damage and Environmental Compatibility Factors.

Energy System and Fuel	Environmental Damage (1998 \$/GJ)	Environmental Compatibility Factor, Φ _E
Fossil Fuel System	12.47	0.055
Coal	14.51	
Oil	12.52	
Natural Gas	8.26	
Coal/Synthetic Fossil System	15.46	0.044
Syn-Gas	20.34	
SNG	13.49	
Solar-Hydrogen Energy System	0.68	1.000
Hydrogen	0.68	

Table XI. Effective Cost of Fossil Fuel System.

Application	Fuel	Energy Consumption Fraction	Effective Cost (1998 U.S. \$/GJ)
Thermal Energy	Natural Gas	0.20	17.46
	Petroleum Fuels	0.10	27.56*
	Coal	0.10	17.75
Electric Power	Coal	0.30	17.25
Surface Transportation	Gasoline	0.20	31.61
Air Transportation	Jet Fuel	0.10	25.98
TOTAL OF FRACTIONS		1.00	
OVERALL EFFECTIVE COST			22.11

*Average for residential and industrial sector.

Table XII. Effective Cost of Coal/Synthetic Fuel System.

Application	Fuel	Energy Consumption Factor	Effective Cost (1998 U.S.\$/GJ)
Thermal Energy	Coal	0.30	17.75
	SNG	0.10	36.64
Electric Power	Coal	0.30	17.25
Surface Transportation	SNG	0.10	36.64
	Syn-gasoline	0.10	51.65
Air Transportation	Syn jet	0.10	45.45
TOTAL OF FRACTIONS		1.00	
OVERALL EFFECTIVE COST			27.55

Table XIII. Effective Cost of Solar-Hydrogen Energy System.

Application	Fuel ^a	Energy Consumption Factor	Effective Cost (1998 US\$/GJ)
Thermal Energy			
Flame Combustion	GH ₂	0.20	26.04
Steam Generation	GH ₂	0.10	20.83
Catalytic Combustion	GH ₂	0.10	20.83
Electric Power			
Fuel Cells	GH ₂	0.30	14.06
Surface Transportation			
IC Engines	GH ₂	0.10	21.36
Fuel Cells	GH ₂	0.10	10.41
Air Transportation			
Subsonic	LH ₂	0.05	26.26
Supersonic	LH ₂	0.05	22.51
TOTAL OF FRACTIONS		1.00	
OVERALL EFFECTIVE COST			19.23

^a It has been assumed that 1/3 of hydrogen will be produced from hydropower and/or windpower, and 2/3 from solar.

5.3. Environmental Damage

Table X presents the environmental damage per gigajoule of the energy consumed for each of the three energy systems considered and also for their fuel components in 1998 US dollars, as well as environmental compatibility factors, defined as the ratio of the environmental damage due to the hydrogen energy system to that due to a given energy system. The environmental damage for the solar?hydrogen energy system is due to the NOX produced. It can be seen that the solar?hydrogen energy system is environmentally the most compatible system.

It should be mentioned that hydrogen also has the answer to the depletion of the ozone layer, mainly caused by chlorofluorocarbons. Refrigeration and air?conditioning systems based on the hydriding property of hydrogen do not need chlorofluorocarbons but need hydrogen, and any hydrogen leak would not cause ozone layer depletion. Such refrigeration systems are also very quiet, since they do not have any moving machinery.

6. COMPARISON OF ECONOMICS OF ENERGY ALTERNATIVES

The economical comparison between competing energy systems should be based on the effective costs of the services these fuels provide. The effective costs include the utilization energy, the cost of fuel, and the costs associated with fuel consumption but which are not included in its price (so?called external costs). External costs include the costs of the physical damage done to humans, fauna, flora and the environment due to harmful emissions, oil spills and leaks, and coal strip mining, as well as governmental expenditures for pollution abatement and expenditures for military protection of oil supplies.

In economic considerations, it is also important to compare the future costs of hydrogen (which will be considerably lower than they are today because of the assumed market and technology development) with the future costs, both internal and external, of fossil fuels (which will unavoidably be higher than today's prices due to depletion, international conflicts and environmental impact).

The effective cost of a fuel can be calculated using the following relationship:

$$C_r = (C_i + C_e) \frac{\eta_{fk}}{\eta_{sk}} \quad (2)$$

where C_i is the internal cost or the conventional cost of the fuel, C_e the external cost including the environmental damage caused by the fuel η_{fk} the fossil fuel utilization efficiency for the application k , and η_{sk} . In order to evaluate the overall cost (C_0) to society, the three scenarios considered earlier will be used. This cost can be calculated from the relationship

$$C_0 = \sum_{n=1}^n \alpha_n C_m \quad (3)$$

where a_n is the fraction of energy used by the energy sector n , such as electricity generating, heat producing, surface transposition, subsonic air transportation, and supersonic air transportation. Since a_n is a fraction, their sum is

$$\sum_{n=1}^n \alpha_n = 1 \quad (4)$$

Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (3), one obtains

$$C_0 = \sum_{n=1}^n \alpha \left[(C_i + C_e) \frac{\eta_{fk}}{\eta_{sk}} \right]_n \quad (5)$$

Using Eqs. (2)-(5), Tables XI-XIII have been prepared for the three energy scenarios, i.e., the fossil fuel system, the coal/synthetic fossil fuel system, and the solar-hydrogen energy system in 1998 US dollars. Comparing the results, it becomes clear that the solar-hydrogen energy system is the most cost-effective energy system, and results in the lowest overall effective cost to society.

7. ADVANTAGES OF HYDROGEN AND SOLAR-HYDROGEN ENERGY SYSTEM

As a result of the investigation presented above, it can be seen that hydrogen as a fuel and the solar hydrogen energy system have unmatched advantages as compared with fossil fuels and the fossil fuel system respectively.

7.1. Hydrogen

The advantages of hydrogen visa vis fossil fuels can be listed as follows:

- Liquid hydrogen is the best transportation fuel when compared to liquid fuels such as gasoline, jet fuel and alcohols; and gaseous hydrogen in the best gaseous transportation fuel.

- While hydrogen can be converted to useful energy forms (thermal, mechanical and electrical) at the user end through five different processes, fossil fuels can only be converted through one process, i.e., flame combustion. In other words, hydrogen is the most versatile fuel.
- Hydrogen has the highest utilization efficiency when it comes to conversion to useful energy forms (thermal, mechanical and electrical) at the user end. Overall, hydrogen is 39% more efficient than fossil fuels. In other words, hydrogen will save primary energy resources. It could also be termed as the most energy conserving fuel.
- When fire hazards and toxicity are taken into account, hydrogen becomes the safest fuel.

7.2. Solar Hydrogen Energy System

The advantages of the solar hydrogen energy system vis a vis the present fossil fuel system and synthetic fossil fuel system can be listed as follows:

- When the environmental impact is taken into consideration, the solar hydrogen energy system becomes the most environmentally compatible energy system. It will not produce greenhouse gases, ozone layer damaging chemicals, oil spills, climate change, and little or no acid rain ingredients and pollution. It will actually reverse the global warming and bring the Earth back to its normal temperatures by decreasing the CO₂ in the atmosphere to its pre-Industrial Revolution level.
- The solar hydrogen energy system has the lowest effective cost, when environmental damage and higher utilization efficiency of hydrogen are taken into account. In other words, the solar hydrogen energy system will cost society least when compared with the present fossil fuel system and the synthetic fossil fuel system.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Elliot and N. C. Turner. "Estimating the Future Rate of Production of the World's Fossil Fuels," presented at the American Chemical Society's 163rd National Meeting, Division of Fuel Chemistry Symposium on "Non-Fossil Chemical Fuels," Boston, 13 April (1972).
2. D. Root and E. Attanasi, in *The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* (1978).
3. J. D. Parent. "A Survey of the United States and Total World Production, Proves Reserves, and Remaining Recoverable Resources of Fossil Fuels and Uranium as of December 31, 1977," Institute of Gas Technology, Chicago, March (1979).
4. J. O'M. Bockris and T. Nejat Veziroglu. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 8, 323 (1983).
5. T. N. Veziroglu and O. Basar. "Dynamics of a Universal Hydrogen Fuel System," *Hydrogen Energy, Part B*, Plenum Press, pp. 1309-1326 (1974).
6. F. Barbir, T. N. Veziroglu and H. J. Plass, Jr. "Environmental Damage Due to Fossil Fuels Use," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 10, 739 (1990).
7. T. N. Veziroglu and F. Barbir. "Hydrogen: The Wonder Fuel," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 17, 391 (1992).
8. T. N. Veziroglu and Frano Barbir. "Transportation Fuel-Hydrogen," *Energy Technology and the Environment*, Vol. 4, Wiley Interscience, 2712-2730 (1995).
9. T. N. Veziroglu. "Hydrogen Technology for Energy Needs of Human Settlements," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 12(2) (1987).
10. T. N. Veziroglu, ed. *Hydrogen Energy*, Parts A and B. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Economy Miami Energy Conference (THEME)*, Plenum Press, New York, 1975.
11. T. N. Veziroglu, ed. *Proc. 1st World Hydrogen Energy Conference (WHEC)*, 3 volumes, Clean Energy Research Institute, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL, 1976.
12. T. N. Veziroglu and W. Seifritz, eds. *Hydrogen Energy Progress* (4 vols.), *Proceedings of the 2nd WHEC*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979.

